Photodynamic Effect of Single-walled Carbon Nanotubes and its potential for cancer phototherapy

Tatsuya Murakami1Mami Inada2murakami0icems.kyoto-u.ac.jpinada.mami.26u@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Hiroshi Imahori^{1, 2} imahori@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp

¹ Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (WPI-iCeMS), Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

² Department of Molecular Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan

Keywords: Carbon nanotube, Photodynamic effect, Reactive oxygen species, Cancer cell killing

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) are known to be classified into two types, metallic and semiconducting ones (m-SWNTs, s-SWNTs), on the basis of their chiralities. SWNTs reveal photothermal (PTE) and photodynamic effects (PDE), which result in generation of heat and reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_{2}$) and superoxide anion (O_{2}^{-}), respectively. While PTE of SWNTs has received much attention for cancer therapy, a recent report suggests that PDE of carbon nanotubes can be used for protein inactivation through photogeneration of superoxide anion¹. In this study, we enriched m- and s-SWNTs by a gel chromatography and evaluated their PTE and PDE in detail. Under near-infrared laser irradiation, s-SWNTs generated both ROS much more efficiently than m-SWNTs, showing that s-SWNTs had higher PDE. For cell studies, s-SWNTs were successfully stabilized with a natural dispersant, high-density lipoprotein (HDL). HDL-stabilized s-SWNT did not show significant cytotoxicity, and caused photo killing of cancer cells through $^{1}O_{2}$ generation like other photosensitizers under near-infrared irradiation. This is the first example of observing cancer cell killing by photodynamic effect of SWNT.

[1] Joshi, A.; Punyani, S.; Bale, S. S.; Yang, H.; Tasciuc, T. B.; Kane, R. S. Nat. Nanotechnol.
2008, 3, 41.

[2] Murakami, T.; Nakatsuji, H.; Inada, M.; Matoba, Y.; Umeyama, T.; Tsujimoto, M.; Isoda, S.;
Hashida, M.; Imahori, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 17862.